## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,207	10/30/2003	Seok-Joon Park	SAM-0457 2219	
7590 09/06/2006			EXAMINER	
Steven M. Mills			SHAPIRO, LEONID	
MILLS & ONELLO LLP Suite 605			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Eleven Beacon Street			2629	
Boston, MA 02108			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/697,207	PARK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonid Shapiro	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  sely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oc	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-12,14-16 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6,13,17 and 21</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical state.</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   So   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-4, 7-8,14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohmuro et al. (Pub. No.: US 2006/0017677 A1).

As to claim 1, Ohmuro et al. teaches a response time accelerator for driving a liquid crystal display (LCD) (See paragraphs 0016-0018) comprising:

a frame memory unit that updates and stores one or more frames of previous data (See Fig. 9, item 53, paragraph 0085);

a table memory unit that stores predetermined mapped panel output values, predetermined mapped panel characteristic values, and flag information corresponding to the predetermined mapped panel characteristic values (See Fig. 9, items 55-56, paragraph 0086); and

an acceleration unit that reads the previous data corresponding to input current data and reads and decodes the predetermined mapped panel output value, predetermined mapped panel characteristic value, and flag information corresponding to the previous data and current data, performs interpolations on the decoded mapped panel output value and mapped panel characteristic value according to the flag information, and generates liquid crystal panel data to be output to a liquid crystal panel

and previous data of a next frame to be output to the frame memory unit (See Fig. 9, items 53-58, paragraphs 0084-0086).

Ohmuro et al. does not explicitly disclose flag information corresponding to the previous data and current data, performs interpolations on the decoded mapped panel output value and mapped panel characteristic value according to the flag information.

Ohmuro et al. teaches a display status change pixel detection circuit for comparing data of primary frame memory with data of the secondary frame memory, and outputting the compensation voltage (See Fig. 9, items 53-58, paragraphs 0084-0086).

Since flag information is only one of software interpretations of the response time accelerator (in the reference correspondent to generation of the compensation voltage), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use flag information as software implementation of the generation of the compensation voltage to shorten the response time (See abstract in the Ohmuro et al. reference).

As to claim 14, Ohmuro et al. teaches a method for improving a response time of a liquid crystal display (LCD) performed in a response time accelerator (See paragraphs 0016-0018) having a frame memory unit for updating and storing one or more frames of previous data (See Fig. 9, item 53, paragraph 0085), a table memory unit for storing predetermined mapped panel output values, predetermined mapped panel characteristic values, and flag information corresponding to the predetermined mapped

Application/Control Number: 10/697,207

Art Unit: 2629

panel characteristic values (See Fig. 9, items 55-56, paragraph 0086), the method comprising the steps of:

receiving current data in the acceleration unit;

reading the previous data corresponding to the current data in the acceleration unit;

reading and decoding the predetermined mapped panel output value, predetermined mapped panel characteristic value, and flag information corresponding to the previous data and current data in the acceleration unit;

performing interpolation on the decoded predetermined mapped panel output value according to the flag information and generating liquid crystal panel data to be output to the liquid crystal panel in the acceleration unit; and

performing interpolation on the decoded predetermined mapped panel characteristic value according to the flag information and generating previous data of a next frame to be output to the frame memory unit in the acceleration unit. (See Fig. 9, items 53-58, paragraphs 0084-0086).

Ohmuro et al. does not explicitly disclose flag information corresponding to the previous data and current data, performs interpolations on the decoded mapped panel output value and mapped panel characteristic value according to the flag information.

Ohmuro et al. teaches a display status change pixel detection circuit for comparing data of primary frame memory with data of the secondary frame memory, and outputting the compensation voltage (See Fig. 9, items 53-58, paragraphs 0084-0086).

Since flag information is only one of software interpretations of the response time accelerator (in the reference correspondent to generation of the compensation voltage), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use flag information as software implementation of the generation of the compensation voltage to shorten the response time (See abstract in the Ohmuro et al. reference).

As to claim 2, Ohmuro et al. teaches a comparator (in the reference pixel detection circuit) that compares the current data with the previous data and outputs the liquid crystal panel data and the previous data of the next frame with the same value as the current data, or the current data and the previous data (See Fig. 9, item 55, paragraph 0085);

a coefficient generator that generates coefficients to be used for interpolation based on the current data and previous data (See Fig. 9, item 56, paragraph 0085);

a table decoder that reads and decodes the predetermined mapped panel output value, predetermined mapped panel characteristic value, and flag information corresponding to the previous data and current data (See Fig. 9, items 55-56, paragraphs 0084-0086);

a panel output interpolator that performs interpolation on the decoded predetermined mapped panel output value and generates the liquid crystal panel data (See Fig. 9, item 57, paragraphs 0082-0086);

Application/Control Number: 10/697,207

Art Unit: 2629

a frame memory output interpolator that performs interpolation on the decoded predetermined panel characteristic value and generates the previous data of the next frame (See Fig. 9, items 55-57, paragraphs 0082-0086);

a panel output selector that selectively receives the output of the comparator or the output of the panel output interpolator and outputs the liquid crystal panel data (See Fig. 19, item 208, paragraph 0117); and

a frame memory output selector that selectively receives the output of the comparator or output of the frame memory output interpolator and outputs the previous data of the next frame (See Fig. 19, item 208, paragraph 0117).

As to claims 3-4,7-8,15-16 Ohmuro et al. does not explicitly disclose flag information corresponding to the previous data and current data, performs interpolations on the decoded mapped panel output value and mapped panel characteristic value according to the flag information.

Ohmuro et al. teaches a display status change pixel detection circuit for comparing data of primary frame memory with data of the secondary frame memory, and outputting the compensation voltage (See Fig. 9, items 53-58, paragraphs 0084-0086).

Since flag information is only one of software interpretations of the response time accelerator (in the reference correspondent to generation of the compensation voltage), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use flag information as software implementation of the generation of the

compensation voltage to shorten the response time (See abstract in the Ohmuro et al. reference).

2. Claim 9-12, 18-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohmura et al. as applied to claims 1,14 above, and further in view of Younis et al. (US Patent No. 6,292,122 B1).

Ohmuro does not teach the predetermined mapped panel output values and the predetermined mapped panel characteristic values correspond one-to-one to gray level values determined by MSB bits of the current data and previous data.

Younis et al. teaches the predetermined mapped panel output values and the predetermined mapped panel characteristic values correspond one-to-one to gray level values determined by MSB bits of the current data and previous data (See Fig. 6, items MSB,616, col. 10, Lines 21-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate teachings of Younis et al. into Ohmuro et al. system in order to provide the faster response time (See Col. 2, Lines 7-9 in the Younis et al. reference).

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5-6,13,17,21 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,207

Art Unit: 2629

Relative to claims 5-6, 17 the major difference between the teaching of the prior art of record (Ohmuro et al.) and the instant invention is that the interpolation is performed using the following equation:

I=Pn-1 (DB-I :DB-n)

m=Pn(DB-1:DB-n)

<u>r=Pn-1IDB-(n+1):0)</u>

s=Pn(DB-(n+1):0)

 $A = \{TP(I,m) (2(DB-n)-r) + Tp(I+1,m)*r\} > (DB-n)$ 

 $C=\{TP(I,m+1) (2(DB-n)-r) + TP (I+1,m)*r\}>(DB-N)$ 

 $PZ={A* (2(DB-n)-s) + C*s}>(DB-n)$ 

where Pn, Pn-I, and TP denote the current data, previous data, and a mapped panel output value or a mapped panel characteristic value, respectively, and DB, n, and PZ are the number of data bits, the number of bits after truncation, and an output value, respectively.

Relative to claims 13,21 the major difference between the teaching of the prior art of record (Ohmuro et al.) and the instant invention is that the comparison is performed using the following equation:

 $I(Pn - 1) - (PN)I \le THV --> PO = Pn,pPn = Pn$ 

where Pn-I, Pn, and THV denote the previous data, the current data, and a predetermined threshold value, respectively, and PO and pPn are the liquid crystal panel data and previous data of the next frame.

## Telephone Inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 571-272-7683. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LS 08.14.06

> RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 9